

Application of AI in Medicine and its concerns

Durga Prasad Pragallapati

Dot Net, Team Leader, Jnet technologies pvt ltd, Hyderabad-India

ABSTRACT

Background: Medicine is turning into a more and more data-centred area, and past classical statistical methods, synthetic intelligence (AI), and gadget learning (ML) is attracting tons of hobby for the evaluation of scientific data. It has been argued that AI is experiencing a quick manner of commodification. This characterization efficiently displays the modern manner of industrialization of AI and its attain into society. Therefore, societal problems associated with using AI and ML must be now no longer neglected anymore and, in reality, now no longer withinside the scientific domain. These societal problems can also take many forms; however, all of them entail the layout of fashions from a human-focused perspective, incorporating human-relevant necessities and constraints. In this quick paper, we speak some of the unique problems affecting using AI and ML in remedy, fairness, privateers and anonymity, explain ability, and interpretability, however additional, a few broader societal problems and ethics rules. We reckon that every one of those is functional components to recollect to gain the goal of fostering the popularity of AI- and ML-primarily based technology totally, in addition to confirming with evolving rules regarding the effect of virtual technology on ethically and privations touchy matters. Our unique aim right here is to mirror how these kinds of subjects affect scientific packages of AI and ML. This paper consists of many of the contents of the “2d Meeting of Science and Dialysis: Artificial Intelligence,” prepared withinside the Belloite University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain. Summary and Key Messages: AI and ML are attracting tons of hobby from the scientific network as critical methods of understanding extraction from data. These methods are more and more colonizing ambits of social effect, along with remedy and healthcare. Social relevance issues with an effect on remedy and healthcare include (even though they may be now no longer constrained to) fairness, explain ability, privations, ethics, and rules.

Keywords — Artificial intelligence, Machine learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

As part of a phenomenon that affects all fields of life sciences, medicine is becoming an increasing data-centred discipline [1]. Data analysis in medicine has, for long, been the territory of statisticians. However, scientific records are attaining past the simply quantitative to take greater complicated forms, which include, for instance, textual facts in Electronic Health Records (EHR), pictures in lots of modalities, on their personal or blended with different styles of signals, or graphs describing biochemical pathways or biomarker interactions [2]. This records complexity is at the back of the evolution from classical multivariate records evaluation in the direction of the nascent subject of records science [3], which embraces a brand new from the factor of view of drugs truth that consists of interconnected wearable gadgets and sensors. Beyond the greater classical statistical approaches, synthetic intelligence (AI) and, greater, in particular, gadget studying (ML) are attracting a whole lot hobby withinside the evaluation of scientific records, even though arguably with a especially low effect but on scientific practice [4]. It has been recounted that AI is experiencing a quick commodification (now no longer that that is a wholly new subject because it becomes already depends on instructional dialogue nearly 30 years ago [5]). This characterization is basically of a hobby to huge IT organizations; however, it successfully displays the contemporary method of industrialization of AI, wherein the educational and commercial limits of studies are an

increasing number of blurred, with the principle professionals in AI and ML at the payroll of personal organizations. In any case, because of this, AI structures and merchandise are attaining the society at large, and, therefore, that societal problem associated with using AI in preferred and ML, in particular, must now be unnoticed anymore and now no longer in the drugs and healthcare domains. These societal problems can also additionally take many forms; however, greater regularly than now no longer, they entail the layout of fashions from a human-focused perspective, that is, fashions that comprise human-applicable necessities and constraints. This is absolutely the simplest in part technical depend. In this quick paper, we cover, in a non-exhaustive manner, some of the specific societal problems affecting the improvement of AI and ML strategies, which include equity, privateers, and anonymity, and explain ability and interpretability, however additionally a few broader societal problems, which include ethics and rules. Not that those problems must be taken into consideration independently; on the contrary, they regularly overlap difficultly. Let us summararily listing them right here: Legislation. The industrialization of AI exposes it to rules regulating the social area wherein it is supposed to operate. In a few cases, this overlaps problems of privateers and anonymity, including AI algorithms used for computerized face popularity in public domains. It may contain greater preferred contexts, which include AI-primarily based self-sustaining riding or protection weapons. The rules are

likewise worried in remedy and healthcare practice, and, therefore, we want to make sure that AI and ML technology follow new rules. Explain ability and Interpretability. ML and AI algorithms are regularly characterized as black boxes, that is, strategies that generate records fashions which can be difficult (if now no longer impossible) to interpret due to the fact the proper shape touching on the to be had records (input) to a given final result (the output) is some distance too complicated. This hassle has been exacerbated via the depth of the new hobby in deep studying (DL) strategies. Only interpretable fashions may be explained, and explain ability is paramount, while selection-making in remedy (diagnosis, prognosis, etc.) should be conveyed to humans. Privacy and Anonymity. Privacy-keeping ML-primarily based records evaluation should cope with the doubtlessly contradictory hassle of retaining the non-public facts personal while aiming to version it, regularly to make inferences to affect a given population. Data anonymity glaringly refers to the impossibility of linking non-public records with facts approximately the person that is not supposed to be revealed. These are key troubles and worries within the scientific and healthcare domains, particularly within the interplay among the private and non-private sectors. Ethics and Fairness. Biological intelligence is multifaceted and responds to the environmental pressures of human societies. Ethics is one of these aspects for which AI remains unprepared. Interestingly, this subject matter has grown to be important to AI dialogue in the latest years. Ethics also are a middle subject in remedy and healthcare. Such convergence of pursuits makes it crucial to create a clear roadmap for the moral use of AI and ML in remedy. The software of ML and AI in regions of social relevance should additionally aspire to be fair. How will we imbue ML algorithms, which might be equity agnostic, with equity necessities? How will we keep away from gender or ethnicity, for instance, unfairly influencing a studying algorithm's results? In the scientific area and healthcare wherein touchy facts approximately the person can be without problems to be had, how will we make sure that AI- and ML-primarily based selection guide gear are not tormented by such bias? We reckon that each one of those is relevant factors to recollect to acquire the goal of fostering AI- and ML-primarily based technology withinside the scientific and healthcare domains and conform with evolving rules regarding the effect of virtual technology on ethically and privateers-touchy matters. Our precise intention right here is to mirror how these kinds of subjects affect scientific programs of AI and ML.

II. SOCIETAL ISSUES OF AI AND ML APPLICATION

Human societies are regulated through our bodies of legislation. While final in the educational realm, AI and ML tendencies have stayed oblivious to felony concerns. However, the second those technologies begin occupying the social area at large; their effect on humans is probably to

hit some felony walls. One broadly mentioned case is using AI as the idea for autonomously riding vehicles. When a human is in charge of any selection-making on a vehicle's wheel, felony duties are pretty definitely drawn. The brief commercial improvement of semi-self-sufficient vehicles, primarily closer to the goal of entirely self-sufficient riding, has stretched the seams of current legislation, however. Again, any utility of AI and ML in real clinical exercise is sure to generate dialogue approximately its felony limitations and implications.

A pertinent instance is the recent (May 2018) implementation of the European Union directive for the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). This directive mandates a proper clarification of all selections made through "automatic or artificially smart algorithmic systems" [6]. According to Article thirteen of the directive, the proper to clarification means that the "facts controller" is legally sure to offer soliciting for residents with "significant statistics approximately the good judgment involved, in addition to the importance and the envisaged outcomes of such processing [automated decision making, as described in its Article 22] for the facts subject" [6]. AI and ML can be the gear used to offer such automatic selection making, and, therefore, it locations that technology in a felony spotlight. Some suggestions for GDPR-compliant ML improvement have lately been supplied through Veale et al. [7]. The implications of GDPR for using AI and ML in medicinal drug and healthcare aren't too hard to appreciate. Any AI- or ML-primarily based clinical selection assist machine (MDSS) whose motive it's far to help the health workers of their selection-making can be explicitly supplying a (semi)automatic selection on a man or woman (for instance, diagnosis, diagnosis, or tips on remedy regarding man or woman patients, possibly even in lifestyles-threatening conditions). In this situation, the facts controller can be the clinical professional (from nurses to specialists [8]) and the group this professional belongs to. Note that this piece of legislation (of obligatory utility in all international locations belonging to the European Union) calls for something very particular from the AI and ML technology (or, more astonishing accurately, from the humans designing, enforcing, and the use of them): interpretable and explainable models, as mentioned withinside the subsequent section. A clinical professional or any healthcare machine worker the use of that technology ought to be capable of interpreting how they reached particular selections (say, why an ML version recognized a mind tumour as metastasis and now no longer a high-grade glioma) and ought to be capable of explaining the ones selections to any human laid low with them. In the implementation of the artificial kidney as one of the leading promising technology in nephrology, we ought to be concerned, for instance, approximately the opportunity of an opaque AI- or ML-primarily based alarm machine now no longer being capable of explaining the idea for a fake alarm that could endanger the lifestyles of the dialysis patient. At a better level, and on the idea of felony safeguards inclusive of the GDPR, a healthcare machine

would possibly determine now no longer to put in force an opaque MDSS in scientific exercise, despite its perceived effectiveness, handiest to keep away from the possibility of unsustainable litigation fees as a result of the false-positive and -bad instances or the wrong estimations and predictions churned through those automatic systems. In the mild of this dialogue, we advise that health workers and healthcare practitioners ought to preserve in thoughts the want to stability the effectiveness of AI- and ML-primarily based technology and their adherence to current legislation. Beyond GDPR and its relation to interpretability, this problem overlaps with several the others we can speak withinside the following sections, inclusive of ethics, fairness, and privateness and anonymity.

III. INTERPRETABILITY AND EXPLAIN ABILITY

Biological brains have now no longer always developed a way to explain themselves. Arguably, this has handiest in species with social behavior (even though it can also be argued that social behavior can handiest occur in species whose brains can explain themselves via a few shapes of communication). In the human species, herbal language plays that communicative or explanatory function. AI turned into at the start conceived as a try to reproduce factors of organic intelligence. However, self-explanatory talents have been in no way a key component to consider. If the organic mind turned into supposed to be understood as a shape of the information-processing system, so turned into AI, and the concept of social AI is fantastically new, for example, inside the shape of wise dealers multi-agent structures [9]. Only recently, the interpretability and explainability of AI and ML structures have come to the leading edge of studies withinside the field [10]. One key motive for that is the step forward created with the aid of using DL technology. DL is an augmented model of conventional synthetic neural networks. The latter has been long in the past maligned as black container opaque fashions. DL fashions threat being taken into consideration augmented black boxes. Interpretability in this context may be visible as a human-pc interplay hassle. We need to be capable of apprehending and interpreting the final results of an AI or ML version. We want to make certain that even a completely complicated version may be explained (typically to different people). A human mind, colossally extra complicated, has advanced herbal language to bring a few degrees of clarification of its internal workings. Similar tries with AI and ML are nonetheless very limited. Despite current and thorough tries to cope with the difficulty of symbolizing interpretability in ML [11], such tries handiest spotlight the special issue concerned withinside the clinical pursuit of actually interpretable ML fashions. In the clinical domain, AI and ML fashions are regularly a part of MDSS. Their capacity and the feasible boundaries to their adoption were investigated within the ultimate decade [12]. The paradox is that those methods, notwithstanding their advantages, are

some distance from widespread popularity in clinical practice. Arguably, one of the motives is precisely (lack of) interpretability, expressed as "the want to open the device mastering black container" [13]. As already mentioned, DL-primarily based totally technology can get worse the hassle, notwithstanding having already determined their manner into biomedicine and healthcare [14, 15]. In medicine, this has clean implications: if an ML-primarily based MDSS totally makes choices that can't be comprehensibly explained, the clinical professional may be positioned withinside the uncomfortable function of getting to vouch for the system's trustworthiness, moving the consider on a choice that he or she cannot explain for to both the affected person or to different clinical experts. This now no longer suggests that efforts have no longer been made to imbue MDSS with understanding representations that might be understandable to people. Examples encompass rule-primarily based representations totally, typically well suited with clinical reasoning [16]; and nomograms, normally utilized by clinicians for visualizing the relative weights of signs and symptoms on prognosis or prognosis [17]. AI- and ML-primarily based structures totally can also additionally have quantifiable desires and might nonetheless be vain until they agree to scientific tips. Note that pc-primarily based structures, which include MDSS, are regularly visible with the aid of using clinicians as a further burden of their everyday practice [18]. The hassle can also additionally seem while the MDSS conflicts with tips of clinical practice [19], something certain to occur until the one's tips are by some means fed as the earlier understanding of the wise structures. In this scenario, interpretability is probably visible to make version overall performance and compliance with tips well-suited desires. The function of ML in healthcare has been defined as acting "as a device to resource and refine particular duties done with the aid of using human professionals" [20]. Note that because of this, interpretability has to be now no longer taken into consideration right here, a completely technical problem dissociated from the cognitive skills of the human interpreter. As stated with the aid of using Dreiseitl and Binder [12] while discussing the susceptible ranges of adoption of MDSS on the factor of care, researchers regularly keep away from real questions, which include whether or not adequate "explanations [are] given for the system's prognosis"; "the shape of clarification [is] best for the physicians the usage of the system"; or "how intuitive is its use." An attempt must be made to combine clinical professional understanding into the AI and ML fashions or use earlier professional understanding informal frameworks for device-human interplay within the pursuit of interpretability and explainability. The statistics analyst needs to play a proactive function in looking for professional clinical verification. In return, the clinical professional has to make certain that the evaluation results are interpretable and usable in clinical practice.

IV. PRIVACY AND ANONYMITY

Technological advances and the massive adoption of networked computing and telecommunication structures flood our societies (and usually governments and era providers) with facts. The bodily society bonds are being unexpectedly amplified with the aid of using our use of digital social networks. In this scenario, facts privateness and anonymity have ended up predominant social issues, and feature precipitated criminal initiatives, together with the European GDPR mentioned in preceding sections. Privateness and anonymity had been a center situation for healthcare structures for some distance longer than for society. The modern-day adoption of EHRs in clinical exercise complements this problem, as touchy affected person facts are uploaded in virtual shape to networked structures with various degrees of safety structures in the region. An exciting evaluation of safety and privateness in EHRs may be discovered withinside the observation with the aid of using Fernández-Alemán et al. [21]. The robust hyperlinks among privateness and anonymity, on one side, and Societal Issues Concerning the Application of AI in legislation, at the different, are defined on this observe, even though it is also mentioned that "there was little or no pastime in coverage improvement related to the several full-size privateness problems raised with the aid of using a shift from an in large part disconnected, paper-primarily based fitness report machine totally to 1 this is incorporated and electronic" [21]. This is not a problem omitted with the aid of using the AI and ML groups as early as 2002, facts confidentiality and anonymity in facts mining clinical packages have already been mentioned in journals of those fields [22] the duties of facts miners to human subjects. Privacy-maintaining fashions and algorithms had been mentioned in a few details [23]. A not unusual scenario for facts analysts in scientific environments is to examine facts that can be dispensed amongst more than one scientific parties. These parties (e.g., hospitals) might also additionally have privateness protocols in regions that save you merging facts from one-of-a-kind origins into centralized locations (in different words, save you facts "leaving" a given hospital). The AI and ML groups have already labored on generating decentralized analytical answers to pass this bottleneck [24]. There is a brand new and disruptive detail of the privateness and anonymity dialogue in AI and ML packages in medication that have to be considered: the en masse touchdown of huge IT groups withinside the clinical field, lots of them featuring or integrating AI elements (a few examples could be Microsoft's Hanover project, IBM's Watson Oncology, or Google's DeepMind), collectively with a myriad of AI-primarily based medically orientated start-ups [25]. The involvement of IT organizations in fitness provision increases the bar for privateness and anonymity problems that have already been at the desk because of the strain of coverage organizations, mainly withinside the maximum liberalized countrywide fitness structures. An illustrative instance of the complexities and capability

drawbacks of this involvement may be discovered in Nature journal's file of the United Kingdom Information Commissioner's Office announced that the operator of 3 London-based hospitals "had damaged civil regulation while it gave fitness facts to Google's London-primarily based subsidiary DeepMind" [26]. These facts have been supposed to be the idea for fashions to check consequences for acute kidney injuries' symptoms and symptoms. According to the Royal Statistical Society's government director, three classes are to be extracted from this unique case of software to the clinical domain: (1) because of society's growing facts accept as accurate with deficit, facts transference transparency and openness must be guaranteed; (2) facts transference must be proportional to the clinical challenge at hand (on this case, the improvement of fashions for the detection of symptoms and symptoms of acute kidney injury); and (3) governance (now no longer only legislation) mechanisms of manage of facts handling, control and use must be bolstered or created while necessary. He additionally makes a key announcement while announcing that "improvements together with synthetic intelligence, system learning [...] provide exquisite opportunities, however, will falter without a public consensus across the function of facts" [26].

V. ETHICS AND FAIRNESS

The time-honored ultimate aspiration of AI is to replicate biological intelligence in silico. Biological intelligence, though, is the product of evolution and, as such, is multi-faceted and, at least to some extent, the product of environmental pressures of human societies. Ethics, as a compass for human decisionmaking, is one of those facets and could be argued to provide the foundations for the legislative regulation of societies, whose importance for medical applications of AI and ML has already been discussed in this paper. However, the truth is that the AI and ML fields are still fairly unprepared to address this pressing matter [27]. Interestingly, this topic has become central to AI discussion only in recent years, once it has also become a central topic in global research agendas [28]. In what sense might ethics be part of the AI and ML equation, and in what sense do we want these technologies to be imbued with ethical considerations beyond the overlap with bodies of regulation and legislation? Let us provide an illustrative example: the ongoing debate on using AI as part of autonomous weapons systems in defense and warfare. Uncrewed autonomous vehicles, at least partially driven by AI, are being used for the targeted bombing in areas of conflict. International conventions quite clearly delineate the ethical issues involved in human decisions concerning the choice of human targets in war periods, but who bears ethical responsibility in the case of targets at least partially chosen by AI-driven machines? This type of problem currently drives the not-for-profit organization campaigns, such as those undertaken by Article 36 [29], "to stop killer robots" [30]. Ethics are also a core concern in medicine and

healthcare that has attracted much academic discussion [31]. Can AI- and ML-supported tools address the basic biomedical ethical principles of respect for autonomy, non-maleficence, beneficence, and justice? Should they, or should this be left to the medical practitioners? Medical practitioners, though, do not usually develop the AI and ML tools for medical applications. Should they at least ensure that AI and ML developers do not transgress these principles in designing such tools? According to Magoulas and Prentza [32], it is humans and not systems who can identify ethical issues, and, therefore, it is important to consider “the motivations and ethical dilemmas of researchers, developers and medical users of ML methods in medical applications.” Such convergence of interests makes it important, in any case, to create a clear roadmap for the ethical use of AI and ML in medicine that involves players both from the fields of medicine and AI. The concept of fairness may be considered subjective as the concept of ethics and, perhaps, more vaguely defined. If distinguishing what is fair and what is not in human society is difficult and often controversial, trying to embed the concept of fairness in AI-based decisionmaking might be seen as a hopeless endeavor. Nevertheless, the use of ML and AI in socially relevant areas should at least aspire to be fair. As stated by Veale and Binns [33], “real-world fairness challenges in ML are not abstract, [...] but are institutionally and contextually grounded.” Let us illustrate this with an example: gender bias can be added to an ML model by just biasing the choice with the data used to train the model are selected. Caliskan et al. [34] have recently shown that semantics derived automatically using ML from language corpora will incorporate human-like stereotyped biases. As noted by Veale and Binns [33], lack of fairness may sometimes be the inadvertent result of organizations not holding data on sensitive attributes, such as gender, ethnicity, sexuality, or disability, due to legal, institutional, or commercial reasons. Without such data, indirect discrimination-by proxy risks are being increased. In the medical domain and healthcare in particular, where sensitive information about the individual may be readily available, how do we ensure that AI- and MLbased decision support tools are not affected by such bias? Fairness constraints can be integrated into learning algorithms, as shown in a study by Celis et al. [35]. Given that fairness criteria are reasonably clean-cut in the medical context, such constraints should be easier to integrate than in other domains. Following Veale and Binns [33], fairness may be helped by trusted third parties with the selective storage of those data that might be necessary for incorporating fairness constraints into model-building in a privacy-preserving manner. A recent proposal of a “continuous framework for fairness” [36] seeks to subject decision-makers to fairness constraints that can be operationalized in an algorithmic (and therefore in AI and ML) the setting, with such constraints facilitating a trade-off between individual and group fairness, a type of trade-off that could have clear implications in medical domains from access to drugs and health services to personalized medicine.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

For decades, AI and ML have been often investigated and evolved in the educational environment, with a few inroads into broader social domains. Over the ultimate years, though, those fields are experiencing an excessive industrialization technique that incorporates societal strings attached. We have considered legislation, ethics and fairness, interpretability and explainability and privateness and anonymity; however, besides, issues, together with robustness and safety, economics and accessibility, or complicated facts management, ought to have also been taken into consideration. Our last commentary is a name for the collaboration among the AI-ML and medicine-healthcare groups within the pursuit of methods, protocols, pointers, and facts evaluation pipelines that explicitly think about many of these societal issues.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gadde, S. S., & Kalli, V. D. R. Medical Device Qualification Use. 10.17148/IJARCCCE.2020.9410.
- [2] Vellido A, Martín-Guerrero JD, Lisboa PJG: Making machine learning models interpretable; in: Proceedings of the European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning (ESANN 2012). Bruges, Belgium, i6doc.com, 2012, pp 163–172.
- [3] Doshi-Velez F, Kim B: Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08608, 2017.
- [4] Dreiseitl S, Binder M: Do physicians value decision support? A look at the effect of decision support systems on physician opinion. *Artif Intell Med* 2005;33:25–30.
- [5] Leonelli S: *Data-Centric Biology: A Philosophical Study*. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- [6] Bacciu D, Lisboa PJ, Martín JD, Stoean R, Vellido A: Bioinformatics and medicine in the era of deep learning; in Proceedings of the European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Computational Intelligence and Machine Learning (ESANN). Bruges, Belgium, i6doc.com, 2018, pp 345–354.
- [7] Provost F, Fawcett T: Data science and its relationship to big data and data-driven decision making. *Big Data* 2013;1:51–59.
- [8] Deo RC: Machine learning in medicine. *Circulation* 2015;132:1920–1930.
- [9] Gadde, S. S., & Kalli, V. D. R. Artificial Intelligence To Detect Heart Rate Variability. 10.33144/23939516/IJETA-V7I3P2
- [10] Cornwall-Jones K: *The Commercialization of Artificial Intelligence in the UK*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Sussex, UK, 1990.
- [11] Goodman B, Flaxman S: European Union regulations on algorithmic decision making and a “right to explanation.” *AI Mag* 2017;38.

- [12] Veale M, Binns R, Van Kleek M: Some HCI priorities for GDPR-compliant machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.06174, 2018.
- [13] O'Connor S: Big data and data science in health care: what nurses and midwives need to know. *J Clin Nurs* 2017, DOI: 10.1111/jocn.14164.
- [14] Castelfranchi C: The theory of social functions: challenges for computational social science and multi-agent learning. *Cogn Syst Res* 2001;2:5–38.
- [15] Ash JS, Berg M, Coiera E: Some unintended consequences of information technology in health care: the nature of patient care information system-related errors. *JAMA* 2004;11: 104–112.
- [16] Hoff T: Deskilling and adaptation among primary care physicians using two work innovations. *Health Care Manage Rev* 2011;36:338–348.
- [17] Gadde, S. S., & Kalli, V. D. R. (2020). Technology Engineering for Medical Devices-A Lean Manufacturing Plant Viewpoint. 10.17148/IJARCCCE.2020.9401
- [18] Reid MJ: Black-box machine learning: implications for healthcare. *Polygeia* 2017;April 6.
- [19] Fernández-Alemán JL, Señor IC, Lozoya PÁO, Toval A: Security and privacy in electronic health records: a systematic literature review. *J Biomed Inform* 2013;46:541–562.
- [20] Berman JJ: Confidentiality issues for medical data miners. *Artif Intell Med* 2002;26:25–36.
- [21] Aggarwal CC, Philip SY: A general survey of privacy-preserving data mining models and algorithms; in Aggarwal CC, Philip SY (eds): *Privacy-Preserving Data Mining*. Boston, MA, Springer, 2008, pp 11–52.
- [22] Scardapane S, Altילו R, Ciccarelli V, Uncini A, Panella M: Privacy-preserving data mining for distributed medical scenarios; in Esposito A, Faudez-Zanuy M, Morabito FC, Pasero E (eds): *Multidisciplinary Approaches to Neural Computing*. Springer, 2018, pp 119–128.
- [23] The Medical Futurist: Top Artificial Intelligence Companies in Healthcare to Keep an Eye On. January 31, 2017. <http://medicalfuturist.com/top-artificial-intelligence-companies-in-healthcare> (accessed June 2018).
- [24] Shah H: The DeepMind debacle demands dialogue on data. *Nature* 2017;547:259.
- [25] Gadde, S. S., & Kalli, V. D. R. Descriptive Analysis of Machine Learning and Its Application in Healthcare. 10.33144/23478578/IJCST-V8I2P28.
- [26] Moor JH: The nature, importance, and difficulty of machine ethics. *IEEE Intell Syst* 2006; 21:18–21.
- [27] Ladikas M, Stemerding D, Chaturvedi S, Zhao Y: *Science and Technology Governance and Ethics: A Global Perspective from Europe, India and China*. Springer, 2015.
- [28] Article 36. <http://www.article36.org>.
- [29] Campaign to stop killer robots. <https://www.stopkillerrobots.org>.
- [30] Beauchamp T, Childress J: *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, ed 7. New York, Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [31] Magoulas GD, Prentza A: Machine learning in medical applications; in Paliouras G, Karkaletsis V, Spyropoulos CD (eds): *Machine Learning and Its Applications*. Advanced Course on Artificial Intelligence. Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer, 1999, pp 300–307.
- [32] Veale M, Binns R: Fairer machine learning in the real world: mitigating discrimination without collecting sensitive data. *Big Data Society* 2017;4:2053951717743530.
- [33] Caliskan A, Bryson JJ, Narayanan A: Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases. *Science* 2017; 356:183–186.
- [34] Gadde, S. S., & Kalli, V. D. R. Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Devices and Healthcare. 10.33144/23478578/IJCST-V8I2P27
- [35] Celis LE, Straszak D, Vishnoi NK: Ranking with fairness constraints. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.06840, 2017.
- [36] Hacker P, Wiedemann E: A continuous framework for fairness